

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,881	08/25/2000		Kevin J. Brant	779	5968
7.	590	04/19/2002			
John D Guglio	otta		EXAMINER		
P E Esq 202 Delaware I	_		GRAHAM, MARK S		
137 South Main Akron, OH 44			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3711	
				DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/648,881	08/25/00	BRANT		K	779	•
Γ			コ		EXA	MINER
		QM32/1106	•			
JOHN D GUGLI	LOTTA			GRAH	<u> 7M M</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
P E ESQ				ART U	NIT	PAPER NUMBER
202 DELAWARE 137 SOUTH MA				3711		3
AKRON OH 440	308			DATE MAIL	LED:	
					1 1	1/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/648,881	BRANT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Mark S. Graham	3711				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period fo	• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	August 2000 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	1.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawi	n from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
400	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		· '				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)           The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)           Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 3711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 drawn to a pool cue\*, classified in class 473, subclass 44.
- II. Claim 6, drawn to a method of making a pool cue, classified in class 473, subclass
- III. Claim 7, drawn to a method of playing pool, classified in class 473, subclass 46
- IV. Claim 8, drawn to a method of billiards training, classified in class 473, subclass2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the stick may be made by placing the battery compartment in a different part of the cue.

Inventions III, IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the product may be used for more than one materially different process as evidenced by claims 7 and 8.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search for groups II, III, and IV is not required for group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,881

Art Unit: 3711

During a telephone conversation with John Gugliotta on 10/25/01 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of the pool cue, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 8 and 9, is the "a striking tip" the same as the striking tip recited in line 5?

In claim 1, lines 10 and 11, "said first second chamber" is not understood.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Wright.

Taylor discloses the claimed cue with the exception of the batteries being placed in the butt end of the cue and wired to the light source. However as disclosed by Wright such a construction is known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have wired Taylor's cue in the same manner if it was desired to make construction of the aiming tip less complicated.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,881

Art Unit: 3711

Chipman and Chuang have been cited for interest because they disclose similar cue sticks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 703-308-1355.

MSG 10/29/01

Mark S. Graham